1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 2, 2007, at 9:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in Courtroom 11 of the above-entitled Court, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, Defendant Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. ("Clear Channel")

("Plaintiff").1

1

3

6

7

5

8

9 10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

This Motion is brought pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") on the grounds that the Complaint fails to allege facts sufficient to sustain a cause of action in unlawful detainer against Clear Channel, and is fatally vague, ambiguous and uncertain. The Motion is based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of Points and

will and hereby does move to dismiss the Complaint filed in this action by Plaintiff Richard Traverso

Authorities attached hereto, the operative Complaint on file with the Court in this matter, and upon any oral argument that the Court may consider at a hearing on this Motion.

DATED: August 8, 2007

REED SMITH LLP

David S. Reidy

Attorneys for Defendant

CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC.

¹ A true and correct copy of the Complaint on file in this action is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Complaint fails to state the essential elements of a cause of action for unlawful detainer because Plaintiff does not allege that a notice to terminate was properly served, as required by Sections 1161 and 1162 of the California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP"), which govern notices in unlawful detainer actions.² In addition, the Complaint is vague and ambiguous because the Complaint and Exhibits thereto contain contrary allegations related to the date and manner of service of the notice to terminate. As such, Plaintiff's Complaint is deficient and should be dismissed.

П. **ALLEGATIONS OF COMPLAINT**

The Complaint alleges that Plaintiff and Clear Channel are parties to a commercial lease ("Lease") for certain real property located at 650-660 Bryant Street in San Francisco ("Premises"). Complaint, ¶6. Plaintiff alleges that the parties entered into the Lease in 1984, for an initial term of five years, but that the Lease term was extended, most recently in 2002 for a further five year period. Complaint, ¶6(a), (d). The Lease, attached as Exhibit 1 to the Complaint, also provides for automatic term extensions unless properly terminated:

This Lease shall continue in full force and effect for its term and thereafter for subsequent successive like terms unless terminated at the end of such term or any successive like term upon written notice by the Lessor or Lessee served sixty (60) days before the end of such term or subsequent like term, provided that Lessee shall have the right to terminate the Lease at the end of any sixty day period upon written notice to Lesssor served not less than sixty (60) days prior to the end of such sixty day period.

Lease, Complaint, Ex. 1 at ¶7 (emph. added).

The March 22, 2002 amendment (the "March 2002 Amendment") sets the annual rent, payable monthly, at \$29,700, through February 2003, and further provides that beginning in March 2003, and for each year thereafter, "the annual rental shall increase by four percent(4%)." March

² All statutory references are to the CCP, unless otherwise specified.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2002 Amendment, Complaint, Ex.1. Besides the rental increase, the March 2002 Amendment does not alter any of the terms of the Lease. Id.

Plaintiff alleges that he served a termination notice ("Notice") on Clear Channel on February 28, 2007. Complaint, ¶7(b). A copy of the Notice is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 2, however, and indicates a date of December 22, 2006. Complaint, Ex. 2. In addition, the Complaint alleges that the Notice was served "in the manner specified in [the Lease]," but the Lease attached to the Complaint does not specify the means for service of a termination notice. Complaint, ¶8.a.(5); Ex. 1. Although the Notice contains the phrase "BY FEDERAL EXPRESS," the Complaint fails to allege the manner of service of the Notice, and does not include any proof of service.

Plaintiff alleges that he is entitled to possession of the Premises from Clear Channel "because of expiration of a fixed-term lease." Complaint, ¶9. As set forth above, however, the Lease provides for automatic renewal of successive five-year terms where, as here, no termination notice was properly served. Finally, the Complaint states the fair rental value of the Premises at \$986.30 per day. Complaint, ¶11. According to the terms of the March 2002 Amendment, however, the yearly rent as of February 2007 was \$34,744.80 – or approximately \$95 per day.3

III. LEGAL STANDARD ON MOTION TO DISMISS

A motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6) tests the sufficiency of a complaint. Navarro v. Block, 250 F. 3d 729, 732 (9th Cir.2001). Dismissal is warranted under Rule 12(b)(6) where the complaint lacks a cognizable legal theory or where it presents a cognizable legal theory yet fails to plead essential facts under that theory. Robertson v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc., 749 F.2d 530, 534 (9th Cir. 1984); Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 326, 104 L. Ed. 2d 338, 109 S. Ct. 1827 (1989). "If the pleadings establish facts compelling a decision one way, that is as good as if depositions and other expensively obtained evidence on summary judgment establishes the identical facts." Weisbuch v. County of Los Angeles, 119 F. 3d 778, 783, fn. 1 (9th Cir.1997).

³ The yearly rent is calculated according to the March 2002 Amendment by increasing \$29,700 by 4%, for four successive years.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Although allegations of material fact in the complaint are taken as true, mere conclusory allegations of law and unwarranted inferences are insufficient to defeat a motion to dismiss. Associated Gen. Contractors v. Met. Water Dist. of S. Cal., 159 F. 3d 1178, 1181 (9th Cir. 1998). In addition, documents attached to the complaint and incorporated therein by reference are treated as part of the complaint for purposes of a motion to dismiss. See Hal Roach Studios, Inc. v. Richard Feiner & Co., 896 F.2d 1542, 1555 (9th Cir.1989); Kaufman & Broad-South Bay v. Unisys Corp., 822 F.Supp. 1468, 1472 (N.D.Cal.1993). "When a written instrument contradicts allegations in a complaint to which it is attached, the exhibit trumps the allegations." Thompson v. Illinois Dept. of Prof. Reg., 300 F.3d 750, 754 (7th Cir.2002) (emphasis in orig.); accord, Durning v. First Boston Corp., 815 F. 2d 1265, 1267 (9th Cir. 1987).

PLAINTIFF'S UNLAWFUL DETAINER CLAIM FAILS AS A MATTER OF LAW IV.

California Substantive Law Applies to Plaintiff's Claims A.

The basis for this Court's jurisdiction over this Action, as set forth in the removal papers, is that diversity exists between the parties. See Doc. No. 1. As such, California state law applies to all substantive issues in this case. See Walker v. Armco Steel Corp., 446 U.S. 740 (1980) (applying the Erie doctrine).

В. The Complaint Fails to Allege Service of a Proper Termination Notice

The elements of a cause of action for unlawful detainer are set forth in the CCP, which requires the plaintiff to "State specifically the method used to serve the defendant with the notice or notices of termination upon which the complaint is based." CCP §1166(a)(5) (emph. added). Here, the Complaint fails to allege that the Notice was served, or that service of the Notice, if it occurred, was proper.

1. The Complaint Fails to Allege Service of the Notice

In cases where a lease is terminated by the lessor for a breach of its terms or under an option to do so under the lease, a 3-day termination notice must be served. CCP §1161; Palmer v. Zeis, 65 Cal. App. 2d Supp. 859, 862-863 (1944). Although parties to a commercial lease may agree upon notice requirements other than those set forth by statute, waiver of any statutory right by such

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

agreement must be express. See Folberg v. Clara G. R. Kinney Company, Inc., 104 Cal. App. 3d 136, 139-140 (1980). Where a Complaint fails to allege the fact of service of the required notice to terminate, the Complaint fails to state a cause of action for unlawful detainer. Zucco v. Farullo, 37 Cal. App. 562 (1918).

Plaintiff alleges that he served the Notice "in the manner specified in [the Lease]." Complaint, ¶8.a(5). The Lease requires any termination notice to be written and served sixty days before the end of the Lease term, but does not specify a particular manner of service. Complaint, Ex. 1 at ¶7. Thus, Clear Channel is left to guess how the Notice might have been served. Although the Notice attached to the Complaint contains the phrase "BY FEDERAL EXPRESS," the Complaint fails to clarify whether the Notice was sent by Federal Express, or what that even means. Moreover, the Complaint does not include any proof of service to shed light on this issue. Indeed, the Complaint fails to allege service of the Notice with any specificity whatsoever.

2. The Complaint Fails to Allege Service of the Notice by Proper Means

A termination notice is valid and enforceable "only if the lessor has strictly complied with the statutorily mandated requirement for service." Losornio v. Motta, 67 Cal. App. 4th 110, 114 (1998). Any notice to terminate pursuant to Section 1161, must be served in the manner prescribed by Section 1162. CCP §1162; Kwok v. Bergren, 130 Cal. Appl. 3d 596, 600 (1982). Section 1162 requires service of a termination notice to be made by personal delivery. CCP §1162(1); see Lievovich v. Shahrokhkhany, 56 Cal. App. 4th 511, 516 (1997) (absent admission of receipt, mail service even by certified mail not equivalent to personal delivery).

The Lease does not provide for service of a termination notice by any particular means, and therefore the manner of service is therefore still governed by statute. See Folberg, 104 Cal. App. 3d at 140. As such, in order to state a claim for unlawful detainer, Plaintiff must allege service of the Notice by personal delivery. Farullo, 37 Cal. App. 562; CCP §1162. The Complaint fails to allege that the Notice was served by personal delivery and, in fact, fails to allege the manner of service at all. Plaintiff fails to assert the necessary elements of a cause of action for unlawful detainer, and the Complaint should therefore be dismissed. CCP §§1166(a)(5); FRCP 12(b)(6).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

C. Plaintiff's Complaint Is Vague and Uncertain

As set forth in Section B, above, the Complaint fails to state the fact or manner of service of the Notice. In addition, the Complaint is vague and uncertain as to the date of service – if it happened.

Plaintiff alleges that he served the Notice on February 28, 2007. Complaint, ¶7(b). The Notice itself, however, indicates a date of December 22, 2006. Complaint, Ex. 2. According to the March 2002 Amendment, the applicable lease term was set to expire on February 28, 2007, and therefore the Notice should have been served by December 28, 2006. If the Notice were served on February 28, 2007 (as the Complaint alleges), it would be untimely under the Lease. Lease, Complaint, Ex. 1 at ¶7.

Where the pleadings contain inconsistent allegations, the Court need only take as true those allegations that bear most strongly *against* the Plaintiff. *See Durning*, 815 F. 2d at 1267. Accordingly, taking as true the allegation that the Notice was not served sixty days prior to termination of the Lease, the Court must deem the Complaint insufficient as a matter of law, since proper notice is not alleged.

V. CONCLUSION

Clear Channel respectfully requests that its motion be granted and that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed without leave to amend.

DATED: August 8, 2007

REED SMITH LLP

By David S. Reidy

Attorneys for Defendant

CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC.

- II

DOCSSFO-12484701.1

27

28

	the transfer of a comment of the state of th
- Andrews	and the second s
(·)	UD-100
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ber number, and actorist):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Richard Sipos, Esq. SBC 126982	
Aiken, Kramer & Cummings, Inc.	
1111 Broadway	
Suite 1500	
Oakland, CA 94607 TELEPHONE NO: 510/834-6800 FAX NO. (Optional): 510/834-9	0777
TELEPHONE NO.: 510/834-6800 FAX NO. (Optional): 510/834-9 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Namo): Plaintiff	San Francisco County Superior Court
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Francisco	Suit Francisco County Superior Court
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street	JUN 1 4 2007
MAILING ADDRESS: ROOM 205	00H 1 ± 20U/
CITYANO ZIPCODE San Francisco, CA 94102	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk
BRANCH NAME:	BY: JUN P. PANELO
PLAINTIFF: RICHARD TRAVERSO	Deputy Clerk
FLANTIFF. RICHARD TRAVERSO	Sopoly Clerk
DEFENDANT: CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware	
Corporation	
X DOES 1 TO 10	
	CAPT AN TAMERA
COMPLAINT — UNLAWFUL DETAINER*	CUB-07-622321
X COMPLAINT AMENDED COMPLAINT (Amendment Number):	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	
1	
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE	1
Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000	
exceeds \$10,000 but does not exceed \$25,000	·
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)	
ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint or cross-complaint (check a	If that apply):
from unlawful detainer to general unlimited civil (possession not in issue)	from limited to unlimited
from unlawful detainer to general limited civil (possession not in Issue)	
i man manaman marantat ra Antarat munon ciali (609999900 UOI IU 19209)	from unlimited to limited
	from unlimited to limited
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO	from unlimited to fimited
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO	
PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL	
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO	
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL Corporation	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL Corporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1) x an individual over the age of 18 years. (4) a	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL Corporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1) x an individual over the age of 18 years. (4) a	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL Corporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation,
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL Corporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation,
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL COrporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1) an individual over the age of 18 years. (4) a (2) a public agency. (5) a (5) a (5) a (6) a (7) a	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify):
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL COrporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictilious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zip code, and county):
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL COrporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1) an individual over the age of 18 years. (4) a (2) a public agency. (5) a (5) a (5) a (6) a (7) a	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zip code, and county):
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL Corporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1) an individual over the age of 18 years. (4) a (2) a public agency. (5) a (5) a (5) a (6) a (7) a	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zip code, and county):
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL COrporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zip code, and county):
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL COrporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL (Corporation) 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. comporation, siness under the fictilious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL COrporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1) an individual over the age of 18 years. (4) a (5) a (5) a (6) a (7) a	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. comporation, siness under the fictilious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL (Corporation) 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. comporation, siness under the fictilious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL (Corporation) 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictilious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as 1 Outdoor, Inc.
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL (Corporation) 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. comporation, siness under the fictilious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL (Corporation) 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictilious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as 1 Outdoor, Inc. her tenancy (specify): 5 year term (specify frequency):
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL (Corporation) 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zip code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as 1 Outdoor, Inc. hertenancy (specify): 5 year term (specify frequency):
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL (Corporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictilious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as 1 Outdoor, Inc. her tenancy (specify): 5 year term (specify frequency):
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL Corporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zip code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as 1 Outdoor, Inc. her tenancy (specify): 5 year term (specify frequency): :
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL COrporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zip code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as 1 Outdoor, Inc. ther tenancy (specify): 5 year term (specify frequency): ecessor in interest.
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL COrporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zip code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as 1 Outdoor, Inc. ther tenancy (specify): 5 year term (specify frequency): ecessor in interest.
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL Corporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as 1 Outdoor, Inc. her tenancy (specify): 5 year term (specify frequency): :
1. PLAINTIFF (name each): RICHARD TRAVERSO alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): CLEAR CHANNEL Corporation 2. a. Plaintiff is (1)	OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware partnership. corporation, siness under the fictitious name of (specify): apt. no., city, zlp code, and county): San Francisco Foster & Kleiser, as 1 Outdoor, Inc. her tenancy (specify): 5 year term (specify frequency): :

		CASE NUMBER:
PLAINTIFF (Name): RICHARD T	RAVERSO	
DEFENDANT (Name): CLEAR CHAN Corporation	NEL OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware	
6. c. The defendants not name (1) subtenants. (2) assignees. (3) other (specify):	d in item 6a are	
and 3/22/02. The termination date, amendments may exe. A copy of the written agreed and labeled Exhibit 1. (R. (For residential property) (1) the written agreed this action is section is section.	changed as follows (specify): The lease was ame lease was assigned to plaintiff on a pursuant to the last written amendments, but are not in plaintif's possessment, including any addenda or attachments that form aquired for residential property, unless item 6f is checked a copy of the written agreement is not attached becausement is not in the possession of the landlord or the la	nt, was 2/28/07. Other resion. It is attached and See Code Civ. Proc., § 1166.) use (specify reason): andlord's employees or agents.
	tice on the same date and in the same manner.	
(1) 3-day notice to p (2) 30-day notice to p (3) 60-day notice to b. (1) On (date): 2/28/0° (2) Defendants falled to co c. All facts stated in the notice d. The notice included a e. X A copy of the notice § 1166.) f. One or more defen manner, as stated in items 7e-e and 8 for	ay rent or quit quit (5) 3-day notice to proper to the period stated in the notice by that date. If the period stated in the notice by that date, are true, an election of forfeiture. If a statched and labeled Exhibit 2. (Required for resident dants were served (1) with a different notice, (2) or a Attachment 8c. (Check item 8c and attach a statem teach defendant.)	Termination notice tice expired at the end of the day. Intel property. See Code Civ. Proc., In a different date, or (3) in a different
(1) by personally han (2) by leaving a copy a person of suitab residence (date): place of business (3) by posting a copy residing at the p (date): (a) becau (b) becau (4) (Not for 3-day not addressed to defe	because defendant cannot be cause defendant cannot be continuous on the premises on (date): The premises of defendant at the premises of defendant's residence and usual place of business of the premises of the premise of the premises	e found at defendant's residence or usual AND giving a copy to a person found oremises on cannot be ascertained OR I there copy by certified or registered mail
b. (Name): was served on behalf of all	between the parties. defendants who signed a joint written rental agreement f notice on the defendants alleged in item 7f is stated it	l.
	e in item 7a is attached and labeled Exhibit 3.	

•	
PLAINTIFF (Name): RICHARD TRAVERBO	CASE NINIBER;
DEFENDANT (Name): CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDO	DR, INC., a Delaware
9. X Plaintiff demands possession from each def 10. At the time the 3-day notice to pay rent or qui 11. X The fair rental value of the premises is \$ 986 12. Defendant's continued possession is malicio section 1174(b). (State specific facts support	. 30 per day. us, and plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages under Code of Civil Procedure ling a cialm up to \$600 in Attachment 12.)
Plaintiff has met all applicable requirements 15. Other allegations are stated in Attachment 1 16. Plaintiff accepts the jurisdictional limit, if any, of the premises. a. possession of the premises. b. costs incurred in this proceeding: c. past-due rent of \$ d. reasonable attorney fees. e. forfeiture of the agreement.	6.
18. X Number of pages attached (specify): 8	
	R ASSISTANT (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 8400-6415)
 (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer as with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help. 	sistant did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an unlewful detainer assistant, state):
a. Assistant's name: b. Street address, city, and zip code:	c. Telephone No.: d. County of registration: e. Registration No.: f. Expires on (date):
Date: June 14, 2007	
Richard A. Sipos	(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORREY)
	VERIFICATION
(Use a different verification form if the i am the plaintiff in this proceeding and have read th California that the foregoing is true and correct.	vehication is by an attorney or for a corporation or partnership.) is complaint. I declare under panalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
Date: June 12, 2007	
Richard Traverso	(GIGNATURE OF PLANTIEF)
•	•

#07-15735

Date CON AMETRO	KEDIA COMPANY
Lessee, the property (with free access to and upon same) located in the	exclusively to Foster and Kleiser, Division of Metromodis, Inc., as a City of San Francisco
	of California described and
650-60 Bryant St. (NL 275' E/o 5th St.)	(159.82' x 110.58' (rreq.)
10-14-14-15 Mark 2751 For 7	
Assessor's Block 3761. Lot 7	
	San Francisco County State of Fisca
as per may thereof recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of	Lating a
nurvoise of precting and maintaining advertising slens thereon, i	seluding necessary supporting structures, devices, illumination
facilities and connections, service ladders, and other appartenances 2. Lessoc shall pay to the Lessov rental in the amount of	thereon.
2. Lease a shall pay to the Leason rental in the amount of	
construction and for the entire period during which no advertising	Dollars per year, psychlo on a monthly hads. Prior to copy is being displayed on the property by Lessee, the rental shall
be Ten (\$10.00) Dollars.	
3. Lessor shell save the Lessor harmless from all damage negligent acts of its agents, employees or others employed in the	to persons or property by reason of accidents resulting from the
property.	
4. Lessor agrees that he, his tenants, agents, coupleyees	, or other persons acting in his or their behalf shall not place or
maintain any object on the property or on any neighboring proper sign structures. If such an obstruction or impairment occurs, the	
has the option of requiring the Lessor to remove said obstruction	or impairment, or the Leaves may itself remove the abstruction or
impairment charging the cost of said removal to the Lessor, or the	
Dollars per year so long as such obstruction or impairment continue. 5. If the view of Lenson's stems is obstructed or impairment.	ince I in any way, or if the value of such signs is diminished by reason
of diversion or reduction of vehicular traffic, or if the use of an	
a building permit for eraction or modification of any such signs in in direct proportion to the decreased value of the leased premi	
circumstances, or may terminate the lease and receive adjustment	
6. If Lessee is prevented by law, or government or militar	y order, or other courses beyond leasen's control from illuminating
its signs, the Lessee may reduce the sental provided by puragra so long as such condition continues to exist.	ph 2 by one-half [14], with such reduced rental to remain in effect
	its term and thereafter for subsequent successive like terms unless
terminated at the end of each term or any successive like term upon	
the end of such term or subsequent like term, provided that Lesse day period upon written notice to Lessor served not less than six	
have the right to terminate the Lease at any time during the period	
by creeting therean a permanent private commercial or residential	
receipt of a copy of the applicable building permit. The Lessor w paid for the unexpired term plus the total cost of the construction s	
full month of this Lesse prior to the notice of termination. If I	come falls to commence the exection of the private commercial or
residential building within sixty (60) days after Lessee removes its	
maintain advertising signs subject to the previsions of this Lea building, the Lessee has the option to use the remaining portion	
reduced.	
8. It is agreed between the parties that Lessee shall rema erected or made by Lessee, and that, notwithstanding the fact th	in the owner of all advertising signs, structures, and improvements
right to remove said sigm, structures, and improvements at any tis	ne during the term of the Lease, or after the expiration of this Lease.
9. This lease shall constitute the sole agreement of the pe	arties relating to the lease of the above described premises. Neither
party will be bound by any statements, warranties, or promises,	eral or written, unless such statements, warranties or promises are
set forth specifically in this Leave. 10. The word "Lessor" as used hereis shall include Lesso	ers. This lease is binding upon and inures to the benefit of the beirs,
executors, successors, and assigns of Losses and Lessor.	
II. Lessor represents that he is the owner(s) if tenant(s) of the property covered by this Lesse and has the authority to e	results this Trans. All rents to be neld pursuant to this Lease, and all
notices are to be forwarded to the undersigned Lessor at the address	es noted below the Lessor's signature.
EXECUTED by the Lessor in the presence of	10 Number 94-1590361
who is hereby requested to sign as witness.	
Allhere:	LESSORISI Vano le tach
	Nancy T. dacktnan
ACCEPTED: FOSTER AND KLEISER	
Crivision of Metromedia, Inc.	Address c/o Hogland Bogart & Bertero
Br: Miles William	ATTN Tom Gille
PASE 01	92/04/5001 T0:20 4T224E10E2 ENB



January 23, 1998

Mr. Brian D. Muller Harrigan, Weidenmuller Co. 300 Montgomery Street Suite 660 San Francisco, CA 94104

RE: Lease #15-15735, San Francisco 650-660 Bryant St. (NL 275' E/O 5th St.)

Dear Mr. Muller:

This letter is in reference to the advertising structure located on the above described property.

Eller Media Company is agreeable to maintaining the monthly rental at \$1,750.00, payable monthly, effective March 1, 1997. Effective October 1, 1997, the monthly rental shall increase to \$1,900.00, payable monthly. Effective March 1, 1998, the annual rental shall increase to \$23,712.00, payable monthly. Effective March 1, 1999, and for each year thereafter, the annual rental shall increase by four percent (4%). The term of this agreement shall be five (5) years. All other terms and conditions of the existing Lease Agreement dated May 1, 1984, shall remain the same.

If this agreement meets with your clients approval, please have the original of this letter signed and returned to this office for processing. The copy is to be for your records.

Please contact me at (510) 835-5900 with any other questions. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

David C.) Sweeney Real Barate Manager

Northern California Division

ACCEPTED AND APPROVED:

Nancy T. Jackman's Agent

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA DIVISION

1601 MARITIME STREET, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94607 (510) 835-5900 FAX (510) 835-1024 Page 12 of 19

03/22/02 13:59 PAX 15108349410

Eller Media Co

Ø001 .



March 22, 2002

SENT VIA FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION (415) 434-3603

Mr. Brian D. Muller Harrigan, Weidenmuller Co. 300 Montgomery St. Suite 660 San Francisco, CA 94104

RE: Lease #15-15735, San Francisco 650-660 Bryant St. (NL 275' E/O 5th St.)

Dear Mr. Muller:

This letter is in reference to the advertising structure located on the property described above.

Clear Channel Outdoor is agreeable to increasing the annual rental to \$29,700.00, payable monthly, effective March 1, 2002. Effective March 1, 2003, and for each year thereafter, the annual rental shall increase by four percent (4%). The term of this agreement shall be five (5) years. All other terms and conditions of the existing Lease Agreement dated May 1, 1984 shall remain the same.

Should this agreement meet with your client approval, please sign both letters and return one copy to my office for further processing. The copy is to be retained for your records.

Please contact me at (510) 835-5900 should you have any questions. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

David C. Sweeney

Vice President Real Estate ; Northern California Division ACCEPTED AND AGREED:

Brian O. mulle

Nancy T Jackman

in agen

T. Saden

855 - 5900 u.s

ClearChannel Oursloor

LAVEE 03

ATSA-MU

4722467065

99:01 2002/10:20

RECORDED AT REQUEST OF COMMONWEALTH LAND TITLE COMPANY

WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:

Steven J. Cramer, Esq. Aiken, Kramer & Cummings, Inc. 1111 Broadway, Suite 1500 Oakland, California 94607-4036 AT ON 2/10 20 06 SERIES NO. 2/106-1124-794 IN San Francisco COUNTY

(SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE)

Assignment of Lessor's Interest in Lease

This Assignment of Lessor's Interest in Lease ("Assignment") is made effective as of the 4th day of February, 2006 by Robert Dreyer and Estol T. Carte, Co-Trustees of the Nancy Tilden Jackman Trust established by Trust Agreement dated November 23, 2004 (collectively, "Assignor") and Richard Traverso ("Assignee").

Recitals:

Whereas, Assignor is the owner of that certain real estate commonly known as 650 - 660 Bryant Street, in the City and County of San Francisco, legally described on "Exhibit A" attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Real Estate").

Whereas, Assignor has granted to Assignee an easement in gross across a portion of the Real Estate for purposes of posting, painting, constructing and maintaining signs on the Real Estate, and related purposes (the "Sign Easement").

Whereas, the Real Estate is currently subject to a lease (for purposes of erecting and maintaining signs) dated May 1, 1984 by and between Assignor's predecessor, Nancy T. Jackman, as lessor, and Foster and Kleiser, as lessee, and addenda thereto (collectively, the "Sign Lease"). Clear Channel Outdoor is the current lessee under the Sign Lease. Nancy T. Jackman's interest as lessor under the Sign Lease was previously assigned to Assignor.

Whereas, in connection with the grant of the Sign Easement, Assignor desires to assign to Assignee, and Assignee desires to accept the assignment of, Assignor's interest as lessor in the Sign Lease. The parties acknowledge that Assignee does not hold fee title to the Real Estate.

Assignment:

For value received, and the agreement of Assignee as set forth below, Assignor hereby assigns and transfers to Assignee all of Assignor's right, title and interest in and to the Sign Lease, and Assignee agrees to and does hereby accept said assignment. Assignee expressly assumes all of Assignor's obligations under the Sign Lease arising after the date of this Assignment.

assumes all of Assignor's obligations under the Sign Lease arising after the date of this Assignment.

WHEREAS, this Assignment has been executed to be effective on the date first written above.

Hosigiice.

RICHARD TRAVERSO

Assignor:

ROBERT DREYER and ESTOL T.
CARTE, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE
NANCY TILDEN JACKMAN TRUST
ESTABLISHED BY TRUST
AGREEMENT DATED NOVEMBER 23,
2004

ROBERT DREYER, CO-TRUSTEE

ESTOL T. CARTE, CO-TRUSTEE

WHEREAS, this Assignment has been executed to be effective on the date first written above.

Assignee:

RICHARD TRAVERSO

Assignor:

ROBERT DREYER and ESTOL T.
CARTE, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE
NANCY TILDEN JACKMAN TRUST
ESTABLISHED BY TRUST
AGREEMENT DATED NOVEMBER 23,
2004

ROBERT DREYER, CO-TRUSTER

ESTOL T CARTE CO-TRUSTEE

RICHARD TRAVERSO

P.O. Box 368 Belmont, CA 94002 Tel: 415-515-5543 Fax: 650-558-9502

December 22, 2006

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

Bill Hooper President and General Manager Clear Channel Outdoor 555 12th Street, Suite 950 Oakland, CA 94607

Re:

Lease No. 15-15735, San Francisco 650-660 Bryant St. (NL 275' E/O 5th St.)

Dear Bill:

Hello. Pursuant to lease no. 15-15735, and addenda to that lease, this is 60 days' written notice that the lease is terminated as of February 28, 2007.

Let's get together soon to talk about new lease terms.

Happy holidays.

Sincer

Richard Traverso

DOCS\S0138-643\513229.V1

.3	,		• ,	. ,
,. j				0
	SUMMONS		FOR COURT USE ONLY	7
U	(CITACION JUDICIAL) NLAWFUL DETAINER—EVICTION	1	(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)	1
	N ILÍCITA DE UN INMUEBLE—DES	SALOJO)		
NOTICE TO DEFENDA		•		
(AVISO AL DEMANDA	DO): PTDOOR, INC., a <u>Delaware</u>	Corporation.	.•	
and Does 1 to 10				
YOU ARE BEING SUE	ED BY PLAINTIFF: NDO EL DEMANDANTE):		.*	1
RICHARD TRAVERS				
KICIDAD IIAIVAKO				_]
falls on a Saturday, Sunda protect you. Your written i you can use for your resp (www.courtinfo.ca.govisel clerk for a fee waiver form property may be taken with There are other legal re an attorney referral servici program. You can locate it Courts Online Self-Help C. Tiene 5 DIAS DE CALEN esta corte y hacer que se otros dias feriados de la c dia de corte para presenta que estar en formato legal para su respuesta. Puede (www.courlinfo.ca.gov/sel la cuota de presentación, a tiempo, puede perder el Hay ofros requisitos le servicio de remisión a abclegales gratuitos de un proCalifornia Legal Services.	if. (To calculate the five days, count Saturd, y, or a court holiday then you have the nex esponse must be in proper legal form if yo onse. You can find these court forms and finelp), your county law library, or the court. If you do not file your response on time, hout further warning from the court. quirements. You may want to call an attoria. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may hese nonprofit groups at the California Legenter (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfitelp), or biDARIO después de que le entreguen esta entregue una copia al demandante. (Para corte. Si el último día cae en sábado o domir una respuesta por escrito). Una carta o tecorrecto si desea que procesen su caso e o encontrar estos formularios de la corte y finelplespanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes dipida al secretario de la cote que le de un lecaso por incumplimiento y la corte le podir gales. Es recomendable que llame a un abigados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, ograma de servicios legales sin fines de lu (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro finelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto	At court day to file a written mu want the court to hear you more information at the Cali thouse nearest you. If you con you may lose the case by de ney right away. If you do not you eligible for free legal sergal Services Web site (www.lay contacting your local courticación y papeles legales paralcular los cinco días, cuentingo, o en un día en que la cuma ilamada telefónica no lom la corte. Es posible que himás información en el Centue su condado o en la corte quomilario de exención de pará quitar su sueldo, dinero y logado inmediatamente. Si nes posible que cumpla con lecro. Puede encontrar estos de Ayuda de las Cortes de CAyuda de las Cartes de CAYUda de las CAYUda d	esponse.) A letter or phone call will not ar case. There may be a court form that ifornia Courts Online Self-Help Center annot pay the filling fee, ask the court ifault, and your wages, money, and know an attorney, you may want to call rylces from a nonprofit legal services lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California to county bar association. are presentar una respuesta por escrito en le los sábados y los domingos pero no los lorte esté cerrade, tiene hasta el próximo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene aya un formulario que usted puede usar ro de Ayuda de las Corles de California que le quede más carca. Si no puede pagarago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuest bienes sin más advertencia. no conoce a un abogado, puede liamar a un os requisitos para obtener servicios grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitlo web d'alifornia,	a
1. The name and address (El nombre y direction San Francisce 400 McAlliste Room 205 San Francisce	ón de la corte es): o Superior Court er Street	CAS (NG)	SE NUMBER: SUB - 07 - 62232	
	•		nata-mate for	
(El nombre, la direct Richard A. S: Aiken, Kramer 1111 Broadwa Oakland, CA 1 3. (Must be answered if for compensation gives	94607 In all cases) An unlawful detainer assis re advice or assistance with this form. (If	o del demandante, o del de ' ثور tant (Bus. & Prof. Code. ا	mandante que no tiene abogado, es): 510/834-6800 510/834-9017 \$\$ 6400-5415)	·
Doles	omplete Item 6 on the next page.) Gordon Park-Li	Clerk, by	Jun Panelo Deputy	
(Fecha) JUN 14 ZO		(Secretario)	(Adjunto)	
(For proof of service of thi (Para prueba de entrega d	s summons, use Proof of Service of Sun de esta citatión use el formulario Proof o	mons (form POS-010).)— f Service of Summons, (PO	08-010)).	
[SEAL]	4. NOTICE TO THE PERSON SER			
·	a. as an individual defenda	int. or the fictitious name of <i>(sp</i>	noifele	1
	b. as the person sued unde	n are nougous name of (Sp)	acity).	(VOA)
	d. on behalf of (specify): C	LEARCHANNE! I	ongoor inc. History	MY
*	under: CCP 416.10 (co	rporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor)	xcct
		funct corporation)	CCP 416.70 (conservatee)	
		sociation or partnership)	CCP 416.90 (authorized person)	
	CCP 415.46 (oc	supanty	other (specify):	
	5 by personal delivery on (date):	Page 1 c	12
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	SUMMONS—UNLAWFUL DETA	INER-EVICTION . I	egal Code of Civil Procedure 55 412.20, 415.456, 11	67
SUM-130 [Rev. January 1, 2004]		Soli	egal Code of Civil Procedure 55 412.20, 415.455, 11 utilions & Plus	

PLAINTIFF (Name): RICHARD TRAVERSO CASE NUMBER:

DEFENDANT (Name): CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC., a Delaware Corporation

- 6. Unlawful detainer assistant (complete if plaintiff has received any help or advise for pay from an unlawful detainer assistant):
 - a. Assistant's name:
 - b. Telephone no.:
 - c. Street address, city, and ZIP:
 - d. County of registration:
 - e. Registration no.:
 - f. Registration expires on (date):

Page 19 of 19